Scrutiny Peer Review 2017					
Local Authority Caerphilly			Meeting of Policy and Resources Scrutiny Committee 28 <sup>th</sup> February 2017		
Peer Observers Newport and	Monmouthshire Cou	nty Council	,		
Facilitated by WLGA					
A. Scrutiny Environment					
1. Scrutiny has a clearly defir	ned and valued role in	the council's improvement	arrangements (based upon the c	bservation of this meeting)	
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	<mark>Agree</mark>	Strongly Agree	Don't Know	
Comments					
It was difficult to assess the re	ole of scrutiny in the co	ouncil's 'improvement arran	gements' from observing part of a	single meeting, however, the meeting gave the i	mpression
that scrutiny is valued within	the governance arrang	gements of the authority. Th	is was evidenced by the fact that	attendance was high and 4 cabinet members, incl	uding 2
Deputy Leaders were in atten	dance, and engaged ir	open and constructive diale	ogue with the committee, respond	ing positively to proposals from the committee to	o follow
	•	• •	•	ny was observed by the peer team, however, the	•
			-	oserved by the peer team) and wondered whethe	
-	•	ney attended only for their s	pecific items (although the peer to	eam understood that cabinet members also want	ed to
remain for the presentation f	rom BT )				
2. Scrutiny has the dedicated	support it needs fron	officers (based upon the o	bservation of this meeting)		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know	
the preparation of comprehe complimentary and held her	nsive reports in advand in high regard. Several bers answered most o	ce. Many members of the pe senior officers were in atter	eer team had met or worked with ndance at the committee meeting,	to the chair at appropriate points during the mee Caerphilly's scrutiny officer previously and all wer which presumably is a customary approach, thou nical clarifications; the peer team regarded this as	re ugh it was
• •	•	ing and develonment onno	rtunities evidenced through thei	questioning, listening and analysis skills and	
understanding of the subject		o and actorophicine oppo	Tambér de la constantina della	questioning, installing all a unaryolo skills all a	
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know	
Comments			1.1.0.7 . 0.00		
It appeared that members ha	ve received 'effective t	raining and development' g	iven the effective approach under	taken during the meeting. Questions were varied	l, well
				usiness, including using the meeting as an opport	
	-			some members were more involved than others	-
				olicy breadth covered by the committee and that	
				using matters under consideration at this meeting	
Conclusion: please consider			,		
Arrangements are hindering			Arrangements are positively	Arrangements are playing a significant role	in
improvement	improveme	. ,	supporting improvement	supporting improvement	
B. Scrutiny Practice	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
-	t the views of the pub	lic, partners and regulators	balancing the prioritisation of co	mmunity concerns against issues of strategic	

# risk/importance Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree Don't Know

#### **Comments**

This was evidenced at the meeting with the attendance and presentation from a group of tenants' representatives (although it was not clear how regular an occurrence this was). Members generally demonstrated good community knowledge, conveying community concerns and views about particular matters; it was noted that this was managed well and struck a healthy balance of providing a community perspective without appearing overly parochial.

### 2. Overview and scrutiny meetings, activities and work programmes are well-planned (based on observation of this meeting)

Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree Don't Know

#### Comments

Planning and preparation appeared to be very effective; the peer team observed part of the pre-meeting which was well-attended, appeared constructive and the questioning strategy well-managed by the chair; some members' had clearly researched some matters extensively, notably the questioning of the BT representative; and the proposal to amend the Forward Work Programme (as noted above) showed a clear approach to planning for the future. Members' questions appeared to be self-generated rather than 'scripted' by scrutiny officers. The layout of the committee room was noted as being conducive to constructive dialogue and was less adversarial than traditional layouts in council chambers for example.

## 3. Overview and scrutiny meetings and activities are chaired effectively

Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree Don't Know

#### Comments

The chair welcomed guests (including peer team and external witnesses), and had an assured, consensual, constructive approach to managing business. He was clear and firm when business needed to be moved on, when other members needed to be brought in or reminded to focus on the agenda and outcome required. He was also organised and rounded up discussions well, for example, reminding the Cabinet Member of the agreed action regarding the sending of a letter.

# 4. Overview and scrutiny meetings demonstrate through their activities the best use of the resources available

Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree Don't Know

#### **Comments**

This was not immediately clear at the meeting; there were a number of 'For Information' reports and it was not explicitly clear why the BT item was on the agenda, although it produced an engaging discussion and was probably effective in terms of relationship management. Some peer members questioned why some senior officers attended throughout the meeting, despite only having limited agenda items, but on balance it was felt that they may have benefited from observing the debate and views of members.

## 5. Scrutiny operates non-politically and deals effectively with sensitive political issues, tension and conflict

Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree Don't Know

#### **Comments**

The committee's business was discharged in a constructive manner; questions were appropriately challenging, but relations between the committee and Cabinet Members was courteous, constructive and respectful. Given the run-up to the elections and the likely political tensions emerging, this approach was commended by the peer team and one peer member noted 'it was difficult to see who belonged to which party'.

## 6. Scrutiny builds trust and good relationships with a wide variety of internal and external stakeholders (based on observation of this meeting)

Strongly Disagree Disagree Agree Strongly Agree Don't Know

#### **Comments**

A number of internal stakeholders (senior members and officers were in attendance) as well as external stakeholders at this meeting, including BT and a tenants group. All were made to feel welcome and questioning was constructive and challenging where necessary.

# Conclusion: please consider which of the following applies:

Arrangements are hindering improvement	Arrangements are partly supporting improvement	Arrangements are positively supporting improvement	Arrangements are playing a significant role in supporting improvement
C. Impact of Scrutiny		Monmouthshire County Council	
	sed challenge of decision makers (based	on observation of this meeting)	
	agree Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
Comments	agree Agree	Strongly Agree	DOILLKIIOW
	enior officers was effective, with some ch	allenging questions which demonstrate	ed evidence and preparation, for example, a number of
examples and issues were highlight	•	anenging questions winer demonstrate	a evidence and preparation, for example, a number of
	sed challenge of service providers (based	on observation of this meeting)	
	agree Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
Comments		37 37	
	ve was similarly effective and appeared ba	ased on prior research and evidence fro	m the communities. However, it is not clear how
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ther than the council, attend scrutiny mee	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	II evidenced solutions to recognised prob		eeting)
Strongly Disagree Dis	agree Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
Comments			
This was not evidenced at the mee	ing.		
4. Non-executive members provide	e an evidence based check and balance to	o Executive decision making	
Strongly Disagree Dis	agree Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
Comments			
Cabinet Members were scrutinised	extensively during the meeting; interesting	ngly the focus of questions largely relate	ed to the Cabinet Member 'Statements' which were
circulated in advance and read out	during the meeting rather than on the Co	mmittee's Reports which appeared to b	pe largely 'For Information'. The peer team regarded the
		<u> </u>	opments and encouraging challenge and scrutiny.
E Docicion makers give public acce	ount for themselves at scrutiny committe	es for their portfolio responsibilities	
5. Decision makers give public acci			
	agree Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
Strongly Disagree Dis Comments	agree Agree	Strongly Agree	<u>'</u>
Strongly Disagree Dis  Comments As noted above, 4 Cabinet Member	rs were in attendance throughout, provide	Strongly Agree ed written and verbal updates to the Co	ommittee and were receptive to members' challenge an
Strongly Disagree Dis Comments As noted above, 4 Cabinet Member suggestions e.g. a suggestion for the	rs were in attendance throughout, provide	Strongly Agree ed written and verbal updates to the Co	<u>'</u>
Strongly Disagree Dis Comments As noted above, 4 Cabinet Member suggestions e.g. a suggestion for the viewed as mutually beneficial.	Agree Agree  rs were in attendance throughout, provide e cabinet to write to follow-up on a matte	Strongly Agree ed written and verbal updates to the Co er and were receptive to the suggested	ommittee and were receptive to members' challenge an addition to the forward work programme which was
Strongly Disagree Dis  Comments  As noted above, 4 Cabinet Members suggestions e.g. a suggestion for the viewed as mutually beneficial.  6. Overview and scrutiny enables to the strong suggestion of the strong suggestion o	Agree  Agree  s were in attendance throughout, provide e cabinet to write to follow-up on a matter the 'voice' of local people and communities.	ed written and verbal updates to the Co er and were receptive to the suggested les across the area to be heard as part	ommittee and were receptive to members' challenge an addition to the forward work programme which was of decision and policy-making processes
Strongly Disagree Dis Comments As noted above, 4 Cabinet Member suggestions e.g. a suggestion for the viewed as mutually beneficial.  6. Overview and scrutiny enables to the strong suggestion of the strong suggestion for the strong suggestion for the strong suggestion for the strong suggestion of the strong suggestion for the strong suggestion of the strong suggestion	Agree  Agree  s were in attendance throughout, provide e cabinet to write to follow-up on a matter the 'voice' of local people and communities.	Strongly Agree ed written and verbal updates to the Co er and were receptive to the suggested	ommittee and were receptive to members' challenge an addition to the forward work programme which was
Strongly Disagree Dis  Comments  As noted above, 4 Cabinet Members suggestions e.g. a suggestion for the viewed as mutually beneficial.  6. Overview and scrutiny enables to Strongly Disagree Dis  Comments	Agree  rs were in attendance throughout, provide e cabinet to write to follow-up on a matter the 'voice' of local people and communities agree  Agree	ed written and verbal updates to the Co er and were receptive to the suggested ies across the area to be heard as part of Strongly Agree	ommittee and were receptive to members' challenge an addition to the forward work programme which was of decision and policy-making processes    Don't Know
Strongly Disagree Dis  Comments  As noted above, 4 Cabinet Members suggestions e.g. a suggestion for the viewed as mutually beneficial.  6. Overview and scrutiny enables to Strongly Disagree Dis  Comments  As noted above, the committee here	Agree  rs were in attendance throughout, provide e cabinet to write to follow-up on a matter the 'voice' of local people and communiting agree  Agree  ard from a tenants' representative group a	ed written and verbal updates to the Co er and were receptive to the suggested ies across the area to be heard as part of Strongly Agree	ommittee and were receptive to members' challenge ar addition to the forward work programme which was of decision and policy-making processes  Don't Know
Strongly Disagree Dis  Comments  As noted above, 4 Cabinet Member suggestions e.g. a suggestion for the viewed as mutually beneficial.  6. Overview and scrutiny enables to Strongly Disagree Dis  Comments  As noted above, the committee head Conclusion: please consider which	Agree  rs were in attendance throughout, provide e cabinet to write to follow-up on a matter the 'voice' of local people and communiting agree  and from a tenants' representative group and the following applies:	ed written and verbal updates to the Corer and were receptive to the suggested  ies across the area to be heard as part of Strongly Agree  and members raised issues of communications are serviced in the suggested of the suggested in the suggest	ommittee and were receptive to members' challenge an addition to the forward work programme which was of decision and policy-making processes    Don't Know
Strongly Disagree Dis  Comments  As noted above, 4 Cabinet Members suggestions e.g. a suggestion for the viewed as mutually beneficial.  6. Overview and scrutiny enables to Strongly Disagree Dis  Comments  As noted above, the committee here	Agree  rs were in attendance throughout, provide e cabinet to write to follow-up on a matter the 'voice' of local people and communiting agree  Agree  ard from a tenants' representative group a	ed written and verbal updates to the Co er and were receptive to the suggested ies across the area to be heard as part of Strongly Agree	ommittee and were receptive to members' challenge an addition to the forward work programme which was of decision and policy-making processes    Don't Know